arXiv:1603.08194v1 [math.CA] 27 Mar 2016

ON THE RADIAL SOLUTIONS OF A SYSTEM WITH WEIGHTS UNDER THE KELLER–OSSERMAN CONDITION

DRAGOS-PATRU COVEI[1]

Abstract. In this paper, we establish conditions on the functions p_1 and p_2 that are necessary and sufficient for the existence of positive solutions, bounded and unbounded, of the semilinear elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = p_1(|x|) f_1(u,v) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ (N \geq 3), \\ \Delta v = p_2(|x|) f_2(u,v) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ (N \geq 3), \end{cases}$$

where p_1, p_2, f_1 and f_2 are continuous functions satisfying certain properties.

1. Introduction

The study of existence of large solutions for semilinear elliptic systems of the form

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = p_1(|x|) f_1(u,v) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ (N \geq 3), \\ \Delta v = p_2(|x|) f_2(u,v) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \ (N \geq 3), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

goes back to the pioneering papers by Keller [6] and Osserman [18]. From the results of [18, Lemma 3, pp. 1643] we know that, for a given positive, continuous and nondecreasing function f, the semilinear elliptic partial differential inequality

$$\Delta u \geq f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.2}$$

possesses a large solution u if and only if the nowadays called Keller-Osserman condition holds, i. e.

$$KO_f := \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{F(s)}} ds = +\infty, \ (F(s) = \int_0^s f(t,t)\, dt). \tag{1.3}$$

Here, we extend the existence result to the case of systems where the functions p_1 and p_2 are spherically symmetric. More generally, however, we are interested in the influence of the functions p_1 and p_2 on existence results. Here, instead of fixing the conditions on p_1 and p_2, we fix the conditions on f_1 and f_2 and determine sufficient condition on p_1 and p_2 that ensure that (1.1) has an entire solution and whether such solutions are bounded or unbounded and, perhaps, large. Finally, we note that the study of large or bounded solutions for (1.1) when $KO_f < \infty$ or $KO_f = \infty$ has been the subject of many articles. See, for example, the author [2], Lair [8], Nehari [12], Rhee [15] and Redheffer [16], and their references.

The problems of the form (1.1) and (1.2) are drawn by the mathematical modelling of many natural phenomena related to steady-state reaction-diffusion, subsonic fluid

Date: Received: xxxxxx; Revised: yyyyyy; Accepted: zzzzzz.

*Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35J55, 35J60. Secondary: 35J65.

Key words and phrases. Entire solution; Fixed Point; Large solution; Bounded Solution; Semilinear system.

Copyright 2016 by the Journal.

flows, electrostatic potential in a shiny metallic body inside or subsonic motion of a gas, automorphic functions theory, geometry and control theory (see, for example, L. Bieberbach [1], Grosse-Martin [4], Diaz [3], Keller [7], Lasry and Lions [9], Matero [11], Pohozaev [13], Rademacher [14] and Smooke [21] for a more detailed discussion). For example, reading the work of Lasry and Lions [9], we can observe that such problems arise in stochastic control theory. The controls are to be designed so that the state of the system is constrained to some region. Finding optimal controls is then shown to be equivalent to finding large solutions for a second order nonlinear elliptic partial differential equation.

2. The main results

Let $a_1, a_2 \in (0,\infty)$. We assume:

(P1) $p_1, p_2 : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ are spherically symmetric continuous functions (i.e., for $r = |x|$ *we have* $p_1(x) = p_1(r)$ and $p_2(x) = p_2(r)$);

(C1) $f_1, f_2 : [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ are continuous, increasing in each variables, $f_1(0,0) f_2(0,0) \geq 0$ and $f_1(s_1,s_2) f_2(s_1,s_2) > 0$ whenever $s_1, s_2 > 0$;

(C2) there exist the continuous and increasing functions $\overline{f_1}$, $\overline{f_2} : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ and $\overline{c}_1, \overline{c}_2 \in (0,\infty)$ such that

$$f_1(t_1, t_1 s_1) \leq \overline{c}_1 f_1(t_1,t_1)\,\overline{f_1}(s_1), \forall\, t_1, s_1 > 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$f_2(t_2, t_2 s_2) \leq \overline{c}_2 f_2(t_2,t_2)\,\overline{f_2}(s_2), \forall\, t_2, s_2 > 0. \tag{2.2}$$

A simple example of f_1 and f_2 satisfying (C1) and (C2) is given by $f_1(u,v) = v^\alpha$ and $f_2(u,v) = u^\beta$ with $\alpha, \beta \in (0,\infty)$. The assumption (C2) is further discussed in the famous book of Krasnosel'skii and Rutickii [5] (see also Rao and Ren [17]).

Next, we introduce the following notations

$$Z(r) = \int_{a_1+a_2}^{r} \frac{1}{f_1(t,t) + f_2(t,t)} dt,$$

$$\mathcal{KO}_{f_1}(r) = \int_{a_1}^{r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\int_0^s f_1(t,t)\,dt}} ds,\ \mathcal{KO}_{f_1}(\infty) = \lim_{s\to\infty} \mathcal{KO}_{f_1}(s),$$

$$\mathcal{KO}_{f_2}(r) = \int_{a_2}^{r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\int_0^s f_2(t,t)\,dt}} ds,\ \mathcal{KO}_{f_2}(\infty) = \lim_{s\to\infty} \mathcal{KO}_{f_2}(s),$$

$$M_i \geq \max\left\{1, \frac{1}{a_i}\right\},\ i = 1,2,\ \varepsilon \in (0,\infty),\ \phi_i(s) = \max_{0\leq t\leq s} p_i(t),$$

$$P_i(r) = \int_0^r z^{1-N} \int_0^r t^{N-1} p_i(t)\,dt dz,$$

$$\overline{P}_i(r) = \sqrt{\overline{f_i}\left(M_i\left(1 + Z^{-1}\left(P_1(r) + P_2(r)\right)\right)\right)} \int_0^r \sqrt{\phi_i(s)} ds,\ \overline{P}_i(\infty) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \overline{P}_i(r),$$

$$\overline{P}_{i\varepsilon}(r) = \overline{f_i}\left(M_i\left(1 + Z^{-1}\left(P_1(r) + P_2(r)\right)\right)\right) \int_R^r t^{1+\varepsilon} p_i(t)\,dt,\ \overline{P}_{i\varepsilon}(\infty) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \overline{P}_{i\varepsilon}(r),$$

$$\underline{P}(r) = \int_0^r y^{1-N} \int_0^y t^{N-1} p_1(t)\, f_1\left(a_1, a_2 + f_2(a_1,a_2) P_2(t)\right) dt dy,\ \underline{P}(\infty) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \underline{P}(r),$$

$$\underline{Q}(r) = \int_0^r y^{1-N} \int_0^y t^{N-1} p_2(t)\, f_2\left(a_1, a_1 + f_1(a_1,a_2) P_1(t)\right) dt dy,\ \underline{Q}(\infty) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \underline{Q}(r),$$

The main results are:

Theorem 2.1. *The system (1.1) has one positive radial solution* $(u,v)\in C^2([0,\infty))\times C^2([0,\infty))$ *given that* $\mathcal{KO}_{f_1}(\infty)=\mathcal{KO}_{f_2}(\infty)=\infty$ *and (P1), (C1), (C2) hold true. Moreover, if* $\underline{P}(\infty)=\infty$ *and* $\underline{Q}(\infty)=\infty$ *then*

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty}u(|x|)=\infty \text{ and } \lim_{|x|\to\infty}v(|x|)=\infty,$$

i.e. (u,v) *is an entire large solution.*

Theorem 2.2. *The system (1.1) has one positive radial solution* $(u,v)\in C^2([0,\infty))\times C^2([0,\infty))$ *given that* $\mathcal{KO}_{f_1}(\infty)=\mathcal{KO}_{f_2}(\infty)=\infty$ *and (P1), (C1), (C2) hold true. Moreover, if* $r^{2N-2}p_1(r)$, $r^{2N-2}p_2(r)$ *are nondecreasing for large* r, $\overline{P}_{1\varepsilon}(\infty)<\infty$ *and* $\overline{P}_{2\varepsilon}(\infty)<\infty$ *then*

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty}u(|x|)<\infty \text{ and } \lim_{|x|\to\infty}v(|x|)<\infty,$$

i.e. (u,v) *is an entire bounded solution. If, on the other hand, (1.1) has a nonnegative entire large solution,* $r^{2N-2}p_1(r)$ *respectively* $r^{2N-2}p_2(r)$ *are nondecreasing for large* r, *then* p_1 *and* p_2 *satisfy*

$$\overline{P}_{1\varepsilon}(\infty)=\overline{P}_{2\varepsilon}(\infty)=\infty.$$

Theorem 2.3. *The system (1.1) has one positive radial solution* $(u,v)\in C^2([0,\infty))\times C^2([0,\infty))$ *given that* $\mathcal{KO}_{f_1}(\infty)=\mathcal{KO}_{f_2}(\infty)=\infty$ *and (P1), (C1), (C2) hold true. Moreover, the following hold:*

1) If $r^{2N-2}p_1(r)$ *is nondecreasing for large* r, $\overline{P}_{1\varepsilon}(\infty)<\infty$ *and* $\underline{Q}(\infty)=\infty$ *then*

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty}u(|x|)<\infty \text{ and } \lim_{|x|\to\infty}v(|x|)=\infty.$$

2) If $r^{2N-2}p_2(r)$ *is nondecreasing for large* r, $\underline{P}(\infty)=\infty$ *and* $\overline{P}_{2\varepsilon}(\infty)<\infty$ *then*

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty}u(|x|)=\infty \text{ and } \lim_{|x|\to\infty}v(|x|)<\infty.$$

Theorem 2.4. *The system (1.1) has one positive bounded radial solution* $(u,v)\in C^2([0,\infty))\times C^2([0,\infty))$ *given that* $\overline{P}_1(\infty)<\mathcal{KO}_{f_1}(\infty)<\infty$, $\overline{P}_2(\infty)<\mathcal{KO}_{f_2}(\infty)<\infty$, *(P1), (C1), (C2) hold true. Moreover,*

$$\begin{cases} a_1+\underline{P}(r)\le u(r)\le \mathcal{KO}_{f_1}^{-1}\left(\sqrt{2\overline{c}_1}\cdot\overline{P}_1(r)\right), \\ a_2+\underline{Q}(r)\le v(r)\le \mathcal{KO}_{f_2}^{-1}\left(\sqrt{2\overline{c}_2}\cdot\overline{P}_2(r)\right). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.5. *Assume (P1), (C1) and (C2) hold true. The following hold true:*

i) The system (1.1) has one positive radial solution $(u,v)\in C^2([0,\infty))\times C^2([0,\infty))$ *such that* $\lim_{r\to\infty}u(r)=\infty$ *and* $\lim_{r\to\infty}v(r)<\infty$ *given that* $\mathcal{KO}_{f_1}(\infty)=\infty$, $\underline{P}(\infty)=\infty$ *and* $\overline{P}_2(\infty)<\mathcal{KO}_{f_2}(\infty)<\infty$.

ii) The system (1.1) has one positive radial solution $(u,v)\in C^2([0,\infty))\times C^2([0,\infty))$ *such that* $\lim_{r\to\infty}u(r)<\infty$ *and* $\lim_{r\to\infty}v(r)=\infty$ *given that* $\overline{P}_1(\infty)<\mathcal{KO}_{f_1}(\infty)<\infty$ *and* $\mathcal{KO}_{f_2}(\infty)=\infty$, $\underline{Q}(\infty)=\infty$.

3. Proofs of the main results

Radial solutions of the system (1.1) solve

$$\begin{cases} \left(r^{N-1}u'(r)\right)' = r^{N-1}p_1(r) f_1(u(r), v(r)) \text{ for } 0 \leq r < \infty, \\ \left(r^{N-1}v'(r)\right)' = r^{N-1}p_2(r) f_2(u(r), v(r)) \text{ for } 0 \leq r < \infty. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

We rewrite the system (3.1) as

$$\begin{cases} u(r) = u(0) + \int_0^r t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1}p_1(s) f_1(u(s), v(s)) \, dsdt, \\ v(r) = v(0) + \int_0^r t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1}p_2(s) f_2(u(s), v(s)) \, dsdt. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

We define the sequences $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\{v_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ on $[0,\infty)$ iteratively by:

$$\begin{cases} u_0 = u(0) = a_1, v_0 = v(0) = a_2, \\ u_n(r) = a_1 + \int_0^r t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1}p_1(s) f_1(u_{n-1}(s), v_{n-1}(s)) \, dsdt \text{ for } r \geq 0, \\ v_n(r) = a_2 + \int_0^r t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1}p_2(s) f_2(u_{n-1}(s), v_{n-1}(s)) \, dsdt \text{ for } r \geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

We show that $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\{v_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ are nondecreasing on $[0,\infty)$. To see this, express

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(r) &= a_1 + \int_0^r t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1}p_1(s) f_1(u_0(s), v_0(s)) \, dsdt \\ &= a_1 + \int_0^r t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1}p_1(s) f_1(a_1, a_2) \, dsdt \\ &\leq a_1 + \int_0^r t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1}p_1(s) f_1(u_1(s), v_1(s)) \, dsdt = u_2(r). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $u_1(r) \leq u_2(r)$. In the same way $v_1(r) \leq v_2(r)$. By an induction argument we get

$$u_n(r) \leq u_{n+1}(r) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } r \in [0,\infty)$$

and

$$v_n(r) \leq v_{n+1}(r) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } r \in [0,\infty).$$

We show that the non-decreasing sequences $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\{v_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ are bounded above on any compact interval. By the monotonicity of $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\{v_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ one get

$$\left[r^{N-1}(u_n(r))'\right]' = r^{N-1}p_1(r) f_1(u_{n-1}(r), v_{n-1}(r)) \leq r^{N-1}p_1(r) f_1(u_n(r), v_n(r)), \tag{3.4}$$

$$\left[r^{N-1}(v_n(r))'\right]' \leq r^{N-1}p_2(r) f_2(u_n(r), v_n(r)). \tag{3.5}$$

By adding (3.4) and (3.5), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \left[r^{N-1}(u_n(r) + v_n(r))'\right]' &\leq r^{N-1}p_1(r) f_1(u_n(r), v_n(r)) + r^{N-1}p_2(r) f_2(u_n(r), v_n(r)) \\ &\leq r^{N-1}(p_1(r) + p_2(r)) \left((f_1 + f_2)(u_n(r) + v_n(r), u_n(r) + v_n(r))\right). \end{aligned}$$

Integration leads to

$$\frac{(u_n(r) + v_n(r))'}{(f_1 + f_2)(u_n(r) + v_n(r), u_n(r) + v_n(r))} \leq r^{1-N} \int_0^r t^{N-1}(p_1(t) + p_2(t)) \, dt, \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\int_{a_1+a_2}^{u_n(r)+v_n(r)} \frac{1}{f_1(t,t) + f_2(t,t)} dt \leq P_1(r) + P_2(r).$$

We now have

$$Z(u_n(r) + v_n(r)) \leq P_1(r) + P_2(r), \tag{3.7}$$

which will play a basic role in the proof of our main results. The inequalities (3.7) can be rewritten as

$$u_n(r)+v_n(r)\leq Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r)). \tag{3.8}$$

This can be easily seen from the fact that Z is a bijection with the inverse function Z strictly increasing on $[0,Z(\infty))$. Let $M_1\geq\max\left\{1,\frac{1}{a_1}\right\}$ and $M_2\geq\max\left\{1,\frac{1}{a_2}\right\}$. Then, going back to (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[r^{N-1}(u_n(r))'\right]' &= r^{N-1}p_1(r)f_1(u_{n-1}(r),v_{n-1}(r))\leq r^{N-1}p_1(r)f_1(u_n(r),v_n(r)),\\
&\leq r^{N-1}p_1(r)f_1(u_n(r),2u_n(r)+v_n(r))\\
&\leq r^{N-1}p_1(r)f_1\left(u_n(r),u_n(r)+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\\
&\leq r^{N-1}p_1(r)f_1\left(u_n(r),u_n(r)\left(1+\frac{1}{u_n(r)}Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\right) \quad (3.9)\\
&\leq r^{N-1}p_1(r)f_1\left(u_n(r),u_n(r)\left(1+\frac{1}{a_1}Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\right)\\
&\leq r^{N-1}p_1(r)f_1\left(u_n(r),u_n(r)M_1\left(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\right)\\
&\leq r^{N-1}p_1(r)\overline{c}_1f_1(u_n(r),u_n(r))\cdot\overline{f_1}\left(M_1\left(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and in the same vein

$$\left[r^{N-1}(v_n(r))'\right]'\leq r^{N-1}p_2(r)\overline{c}_2f_2(v_n(r),v_n(r))\cdot\overline{f_2}\left(M_2\left(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\right). \tag{3.10}$$

By (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$\begin{cases}
r^{N-1}(u_n(r))''\leq(N-1)r^{N-2}(u_n(r))'+r^{N-1}(u_n(r))''=\left[r^{N-1}(u_n(r))'\right]'\\
\leq r^{N-1}p_1(r)\overline{c}_1f_1(u_n(r),u_n(r))\cdot\overline{f_1}(M_1(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r)))),\\
r^{N-1}(v_n(r))''\leq\left[r^{N-1}(v_n(r))'\right]'\\
\leq r^{N-1}p_2(r)\overline{c}_2f_2(v_n(r),v_n(r))\cdot\overline{f_2}(M_2(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r)))).
\end{cases}$$

Multiplying the first inequality by $(u_n(r))'$ and the second by $(v_n(r))'$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases}
\left\{\left[(u_n(r))'\right]^2\right\}'\leq 2p_1(r)\overline{c}_1f_1(u_n(r),u_n(r))(u_n(r))'\overline{f_1}(M_1(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r)))),\\
\left\{\left[(v_n(r))'\right]^2\right\}'\leq 2p_2(r)\overline{c}_2f_2(v_n(r),v_n(r))(v_n(r))'\overline{f_2}(M_2(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))))).
\end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

Integrating in (3.11) from 0 to r we also have

$$\begin{cases}
\left[(u_n(r))'\right]^2\leq 2\int_0^r p_1(t)\overline{c}_1f_1(u_n(t),u_n(t))(u_n(t))'\overline{f_1}(M_1(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(t)+P_2(t))))\,dt,\\
\left[(v_n(r))'\right]^2\leq 2\int_0^r p_2(t)\overline{c}_2f_2(v_n(t),v_n(t))(v_n(t))'\overline{f_2}(M_2(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(t)+P_2(t))))\,dt.
\end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\begin{cases}
\left[(u_n(r))'\right]^2\leq 2\overline{f_1}(M_1(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))))\int_0^r p_1(t)\overline{c}_1f_1(u_n(t),u_n(t))(u_n(t))'\,dt,\\
\left[(v_n(r))'\right]^2\leq 2\overline{f_2}(M_2(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))))\int_0^r p_2(t)\overline{c}_2f_2(v_n(t),v_n(t))(v_n(t))'\,dt.
\end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

Set now

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1(r) &= \max\{p_1(t)\,|0\leq t\leq r\},\\
\phi_2(r) &= \max\{p_2(t)\,|0\leq t\leq r\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Thanks to the definition of $\phi_1(r)$ and $\phi_2(r)$ we get from the inequalities (3.12) that

$$\begin{cases} \left[(u_n(r))'\right]^2 \leq 2\overline{c}_1\overline{f_1}\left(M_1\left(1+Z^{-1}\left(P_1(r)+P_2(r)\right)\right)\right)\phi_1(r)\int_0^r f_1(u_n(t),u_n(t))(u_n(t))'\,dt, \\ \left[(v_n(r))'\right]^2 \leq 2\overline{c}_2\overline{f_2}\left(M_2\left(1+Z^{-1}\left(P_1(r)+P_2(r)\right)\right)\right)\phi_2(r)\int_0^r f_2(v_n(t),v_n(t))(v_n(t))'\,dt. \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

As a consequence of (3.14), we also have

$$\begin{cases} (u_n(r))' \leq \sqrt{2\overline{c}_1\overline{f_1}\left(M_1\left(1+Z^{-1}\left(P_1(r)+P_2(r)\right)\right)\right)\phi_1(r)}\sqrt{\int_{a_1}^{u_n(r)} f_1(t,t)\,dt}, \\ (v_n(r))' \leq \sqrt{2\overline{c}_2\overline{f_2}\left(M_2\left(1+Z^{-1}\left(P_1(r)+P_2(r)\right)\right)\right)\phi_2(r)}\sqrt{\int_{a_2}^{v_n(r)} f_2(t,t)\,dt}, \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

and, thus

$$\begin{cases} \frac{(u_n(r))'}{\sqrt{\int_{a_1}^{u_n(r)} f_1(t,t)dt}} \leq \sqrt{2\overline{c}_1\overline{f_1}\left(M_1\left(1+Z^{-1}\left(P_1(r)+P_2(r)\right)\right)\right)\phi_1(r)}, \\ \frac{(v_n(r))'}{\sqrt{\int_{a_2}^{v_n(r)} f_2(t,t)dt}} \leq \sqrt{2\overline{c}_2\overline{f_2}\left(M_2\left(1+Z^{-1}\left(P_1(r)+P_2(r)\right)\right)\right)\phi_2(r)}. \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

Integrating (3.16) leads to

$$\begin{cases} \int_{a_1}^{u_n(r)}\left(\int_0^s f_1(t,t)\,dt\right)^{-1/2} ds \leq \sqrt{2\overline{c}_1\overline{f_1}\left(M_1\left(1+Z^{-1}\left(P_1(r)+P_2(r)\right)\right)\right)}\int_0^r \sqrt{\phi_1(s)}ds, \\ \int_{a_2}^{v_n(r)}\left(\int_{a_2}^s f_2(t,t)\,dt\right)^{-1/2} ds \leq \sqrt{2\overline{c}_2\overline{f_2}\left(M_2\left(1+Z^{-1}\left(P_1(r)+P_2(r)\right)\right)\right)}\int_0^r \sqrt{\phi_2(s)}ds. \end{cases}$$

which is the same as

$$\mathcal{KO}_{f_1}(u_n(r)) \leq \sqrt{2\overline{c}_1}\cdot\overline{P}_1(r) \text{ and } \mathcal{KO}_{f_2}(v_n(r)) \leq \sqrt{2\overline{c}_2}\cdot\overline{P}_2(r). \tag{3.17}$$

Now, we can easy see that $\mathcal{KO}_{f_1}$ is a bijection with the inverse function $\mathcal{KO}_{f_1}^{-1}$ strictly increasing on $[0,\mathcal{KO}_{f_1}(\infty))$. Hence

$$u_n(r) \leq \mathcal{KO}_{f_1}^{-1}\left(\sqrt{2\overline{c}_1}\cdot\overline{P}_1(r)\right) \tag{3.18}$$

The second inequality in (3.17), leads to

$$v_n(r) \leq \mathcal{KO}_{f_2}^{-1}\left(\sqrt{2\overline{c}_2}\cdot\overline{P}_2(r)\right). \tag{3.19}$$

In summary, we have found upper bounds for $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\{v_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ which are dependent of r. Now let us complete the proof of Theorems 2.1-2.5. We prove that the sequences $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\{v_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ are bounded and equicontinuous on $[0,c_0]$ for arbitrary $c_0>0$. Indeed, since

$$(u_n(r))' \geq 0 \text{ and } (v_n(r))' \geq 0 \text{ for all } r\geq 0,$$

it follows that

$$u_n(r) \leq u_n(c_0) \leq C_1 \text{ and } v_n(r) \leq v_n(c_0) \leq C_2 \text{ on } [0,c_0].$$

Here $C_1 = \mathcal{KO}_{f_1}^{-1}\left(\sqrt{2\overline{c}_1}\cdot\overline{P}_1(c_0)\right)$ and $C_2 = \mathcal{KO}_{f_2}^{-1}\left(\sqrt{2\overline{c}_2}\cdot\overline{P}_2(c_0)\right)$ are positive constants. Recall that $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\{v_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ are bounded on $[0,c_0]$ for arbitrary $c_0>0$. Using this fact, we show that the same is true of $(u_n(r))'$ and $(v_n(r))'$. Indeed, for any

$r \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(u_n(r))' &= r^{1-N}\int_0^r t^{N-1}p_1(t)\, f_1(u_{n-1}(t), v_{n-1}(t))\, dt \\
&\leq r^{1-N}r^{N-1}\int_0^r p_1(t)\, f_1(u_n(t), v_n(t))\, dt \\
&\leq \|p_1\|_\infty \int_0^r f_1(u_n(t), v_n(t))\, dt \leq \|p_1\|_\infty f_1(C_1, C_2)\int_0^r dt \\
&\leq \|p_1\|_\infty f_1(C_1, C_2)\, c_0 \text{ on } [0, c_0].
\end{aligned}$$

Similar arguments show that

$$\begin{aligned}
(v_n(r))' &= r^{1-N}\int_0^r t^{N-1}p_2(t)\, f_2(u_{n-1}(t), v_{n-1}(t))\, dt \\
&\leq r^{1-N} f_2(C_1, C_2)\, r^{N-1}\|p_2\|_\infty \int_0^r dt \\
&\leq \|p_2\|_\infty f_2(C_1, C_2)\, c_0 \text{ on } [0, c_0].
\end{aligned}$$

It remains, to prove that $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\{v_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ are equicontinuous on $[0, c_0]$ for arbitrary $c_0 > 0$. Let ε_1, $\varepsilon_2 > 0$. To verify equicontinuous on $[0, c_0]$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
|u_n(x) - u_n(y)| &= \left|(u_n(\xi_1))'\right| |x - y| \leq \|p_1\|_\infty f_1(C_1, C_2)\, c_0 |x - y|, \\
|v_n(x) - v_n(y)| &= \left|(v_n(\xi_2))'\right| |x - y| \leq \|p_2\|_\infty f_2(C_1, C_2)\, c_0 |x - y|,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x, y \in [0, c_0]$ and for ξ_1, ξ_2 the constants from the mean value theorem. So it suffices to take

$$\delta_1 = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\|p_1\|_\infty f_1(C_1, C_2)\, c_0} \text{ and } \delta_2 = \frac{\varepsilon_2}{f_2(C_1, C_2)\|p_2\|_\infty c_0},$$

to see that $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\{v_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ are equicontinuous on $[0, c_0]$. Thus, it follows from the Arzela–Ascoli theorem that there exists a function $u \in C([0, c_0])$ and a subsequence N_1 of $\mathbb{N}^*$ with $u_n(r)$ converging uniformly on u to $[0, c_0]$ as $n \to \infty$ through N_1. By the same token there exists a function $v \in C([0, c_0])$ and a subsequence N_2 of $\mathbb{N}^*$ with $v_n(r)$ converging uniformly to v on $[0, c_0]$ as $n \to \infty$ through N_2. Thus $\{(u_n(r), v_n(r))\}_{n\in N_2}$ converges uniformly on $[0, c_0]$ to $(u, v) \in C([0, c_0]) \times C([0, c_0])$ through N_2 (see Lü-O'Regan-Agarwal [10]). The solution constructed in this way will be radially symmetric solution of system (1.1). We remark that, since $\{(u_n(r), v_n(r))\}_n$ is non-decreasing on $[0, \infty)$, then $\{(u_n(r), v_n(r))\}_n$ itself converges uniformly to (u, v) on $[0, c_0]$. Moreover, the radial solutions of (1.1) with $u(0) = a_1$, $v(0) = a_2$ satisfy:

$$u(r) = a_1 + \int_0^r y^{1-N}\int_0^y t^{N-1}p_1(t)\, f_1(u(t), v(t))\, dtdy, \ r \geq 0, \tag{3.20}$$

$$v(r) = a_2 + \int_0^r y^{1-N}\int_0^y t^{N-1}p_2(t)\, f_2(u(t), v(t))\, dtdy, \ r \geq 0. \tag{3.21}$$

It remains to show that $u \in C^2[0, \infty)$. It is not difficult to see that $u \in C^2(0, \infty) \cap C[0, \infty)$. We prove that $u'(r)$ and $u''(r)$ are continuous at $r = 0$. To ensure that $u'(r)$ is continuous at $r = 0$ we evaluate

$$\lim_{r\to 0} u'(r) = \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\int_0^r s^{N-1}p_1(s)\, f_1(u(s), v(s))\, ds}{r^{N-1}}.$$

By applying L'Hopital's rule, we have

$$\lim_{r\to 0} u'(r) = \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{r^{N-1} p_1(r) f_1(u(r), v(r))}{(N-1) r^{N-2}} = \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{r p_1(r) f_1(u(r), v(r))}{(N-1)} = 0.$$

On the other hand by a successive application of the L'Hopital's rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u'(0) &= \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{u(r) - u(0)}{r} \\ &= \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\int_0^r y^{1-N} \int_0^y t^{N-1} p_1(t) f_1(u(t), v(t)) \, dt dy}{r} \\ &= \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\int_0^r t^{N-1} p_1(t) f_1(u(t), v(t)) \, dt}{r^{N-1}} \\ &= \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{r^{N-1} p_1(r) f_1(u(r), v(r))}{N-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{r\to 0} u'(r) = u'(0) = 0$$

and the proof of $u'(r)$ is continuous at $r = 0$ is concluded. We now prove that $u''(r)$ is continuous at $r = 0$. To this aim, remark that

$$u''(r) = (1-N) r^{-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} p_1(s) f_1(u(s), v(s)) \, ds + p_1(r) f_1(u(r), v(r)), \ r > 0.$$

We deduce

$$\lim_{r\to 0} u''(r) = \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{(1-N) \int_0^r s^{N-1} p_1(s) f_1(u(s), v(s)) \, ds}{r^N} + \lim_{r\to 0} p_1(r) f_1(u(r), v(r)).$$

In the sequel, we use the following notation

$$L_1(r) = \frac{(1-N) \int_0^r s^{N-1} p_1(s) f_1(u(s), v(s)) \, ds}{r^N} \text{ and } L_2(r) = p_1(r) f_1(u(r), v(r)).$$

According to our notation, we remark that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r\to 0} L_1(r) &= \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{(1-N) r^{N-1} p_1(r) f_1(u(r), v(r))}{N r^{N-1}} \\ &= \lim_{r\to 0} \left(\frac{p_1(r) f_1(u(r), v(r))}{N} - p_1(r) f_1(u(r), v(r)) \right) \\ &= \frac{p_1(0) f_1(u(0), v(0))}{N} - p_1(0) f_1(u(0), v(0)) \\ \lim_{r\to 0} L_2(r) &= p_1(0) f_1(u(0), v(0)). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$\lim_{r\to 0} u''(r) = \lim_{r\to 0} L_1(r) + \lim_{r\to 0} L_2(r) = \frac{p_1(0) f_1(u(0), v(0))}{N}. \tag{3.22}$$

On the other hand

$$u''(0) = \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{u'(r) - u'(0)}{r} = \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{r^{1-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} p_1(s) f_1(u(s), v(s)) \, ds}{r},$$

where we have used $u'(0) = 0$. Now, by the use of L'Hopital's rule

$$u''(r) = \lim_{r\to 0} (1-N) r^{-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} p_1(s) f_1(u(s), v(s)) \, ds + \lim_{r\to 0} p_1(r) f_1(u(r), v(r)).$$

Rearranging, we get

$$u''(0)=\lim_{r\to 0}\left(L_1(r)+L_2(r)\right).$$

It remains now to see that

$$u''(0)=\frac{p_1(0)\,f_1(u(0),v(0))}{N}. \tag{3.23}$$

Then u'' is continuous at $r=0$. Hence the claim follows from (3.14) and (3.15). This means that $u\in C^2[0,\infty)$. In the same vein $v\in C^2[0,\infty)$. The existence and regularity of solutions for the Theorems 2.1-2.5 was proved. Next, choose $R>0$ so that $r^{2N-2}p_1(r)$ and $r^{2N-2}p_2(r)$ are non-decreasing for $r\geq R$. In order, to prove Theorems 2.1-2.5 we intend to establish some inequalities. Using the same arguments as in (3.9) and (3.10) we can see that

$$\begin{cases}\left[r^{N-1}(u(r))'\right]'\leq r^{N-1}p_1(r)\,\overline{c}_1 f_1(u(r),u(r))\,\overline{f_1}\left(M_1\left(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\right),\\ \left[r^{N-1}(v(r))'\right]'\leq r^{N-1}p_2(r)\,\overline{c}_2 f_2(v(r),v(r))\,\overline{f_2}\left(M_2\left(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\right).\end{cases} \tag{3.24}$$

Multiplying the first equation in (3.24) by $r^{N-1}(u(r))'$ and the second by $r^{N-1}(v(r))'$ and integrating gives

$$\begin{cases}\left[r^{N-1}(u(r))'\right]^2\leq\left[R^{N-1}(u(R))'\right]^2\\ +2\int_R^r t^{2(N-1)}p_1(t)\,\overline{c}_1\overline{f_1}\left(M_1\left(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(t)+P_2(t))\right)\right)\frac{d}{dz}\int_{a_1}^{u(z)}f_1(s,s)\,dsdt,\\ \left[r^{N-1}(v(r))'\right]^2\leq\left[R^{N-1}(v(R))'\right]^2\\ +2\int_R^r t^{2(N-1)}p_2(t)\,\overline{c}_2\overline{f_2}\left(M_2\left(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(t)+P_2(t))\right)\right)\frac{d}{dz}\int_{a_2}^{v(z)}f_2(s,s)\,dsdt,\end{cases}$$

for $r\geq R$. We get from the monotonicity of $z^{2N-2}p_1(z)$ and $z^{2N-2}p_2(z)$ for $r\geq z\geq R$ that

$$\begin{cases}\left[r^{N-1}(u(r))'\right]^2\leq C_1+2r^{N-1}p_1(r)\,\overline{c}_1\overline{f_1}\left(M_1\left(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\right)\int_0^{u(r)}f_1(z,z)\,dz,\\ \left[r^{N-1}(v(r))'\right]^2\leq C_2+2r^{N-1}p_2(r)\,\overline{c}_2\overline{f_2}\left(M_2\left(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\right)\int_0^{v(r)}f_2(z,z)\,dz,\end{cases}$$

where $C_1=\left[R^{N-1}(u(R))'\right]^2$ and $C_2=\left[R^{N-1}(v(R))'\right]^2$. This implies that

$$\begin{cases}\frac{(u(r))'}{\sqrt{\int_0^{u(r)}f_1(z,z)dz}}\leq\frac{r^{1-N}\sqrt{C_1}}{\sqrt{\int_0^{u(r)}f_1(z,z)dz}}+\sqrt{2p_1(r)\,\overline{c}_1\overline{f_1}\left(M_1\left(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\right)},\\ \frac{(v(r))'}{\sqrt{\int_0^{v(r)}f_2(z,z)dz}}\leq\frac{r^{1-N}\sqrt{C_2}}{\sqrt{\int_0^{v(r)}f_2(z,z)dz}}+\sqrt{2p_2(r)\,\overline{c}_2\overline{f_2}\left(M_2\left(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\right)}.\end{cases} \tag{3.25}$$

In particular, integrating (3.25) from R tor r and using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{2r^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}p_1(r)\,\overline{c}_1\overline{f_1}\left(M_1\left(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\right)r^{-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}}\\ \leq\;& r^{1+\varepsilon}p_1(r)\,\overline{c}_1\overline{f_1}\left(M_1\left(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\right)+r^{-(1+\varepsilon)}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{2r^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}p_2(r)\,\overline{c}_2\overline{f_2}\left(M_2\left(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\right)r^{-\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}}\\ \leq\;& r^{1+\varepsilon}p_2(r)\,\overline{c}_2\overline{f_2}\left(M_2\left(1+Z^{-1}(P_1(r)+P_2(r))\right)\right)+r^{-(1+\varepsilon)}\end{aligned}$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$, lead to

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{u(R)}^{u(r)}\left(\int_0^t f_1(z,z)\,dz\right)^{-1/2}dt &= \mathcal{KO}_{f_1}(u(r))-\mathcal{KO}_{f_1}(u(R))\\ &\leq \sqrt{C_1}\int_R^r\frac{t^{1-N}}{\sqrt{\int_0^{u(t)}f_1(z,z)\,dz}}dt+\overline{c}_1\overline{P}_{1\varepsilon}+\int_R^r t^{-(1+\varepsilon)}dt\\ &\leq \sqrt{C_1}\frac{\int_R^r t^{1-N}dt}{\sqrt{\int_0^{u(R)}f_1(z,z)\,dz}}+\overline{c}_1\overline{P}_{1\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon R^{\varepsilon}}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.26}$$

We next turn to estimating $v(r)$. A similar calculation yields

$$\int_{v(R)}^{v(r)}\left(\int_0^t f_2(z,z)\,dz\right)^{-1/2}dt\leq\sqrt{C_2}\frac{\int_R^r t^{1-N}dt}{\sqrt{\int_0^{v(R)}f_2(z,z)\,dz}}+\overline{c}_2\overline{P}_{2\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon R^{\varepsilon}}.\tag{3.27}$$

The inequalities (3.26) and (3.27) are needed in proving the "boundedness" of the functions u and v. Indeed, they can be written as

$$\begin{cases}u(r)\leq\mathcal{KO}_{f_1}^{-1}\left(\sqrt{C_1}\int_R^r t^{1-N}dt\left(\int_0^{u(R)}f_1(z,z)\,dz\right)^{-1/2}+\overline{c}_1\overline{P}_{1\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon R^{\varepsilon}}\right),\\ v(r)\leq\mathcal{KO}_{f_2}^{-1}\left(\sqrt{C_2}\int_R^r t^{1-N}dt\left(\int_0^{v(R)}f_2(z,z)\,dz\right)^{-1/2}+\overline{c}_2\overline{P}_{2\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon R^{\varepsilon}}\right).\end{cases}\tag{3.28}$$

Next we prove that all Theorems hold.

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 2.1 completed:** In the case $\underline{P}(\infty)=\underline{Q}(\infty)=\infty$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}u(r) &= a_1+\int_0^r t^{1-N}\int_0^t s^{N-1}p_1(s)\,f_1(u(s),v(s))\,dsdt\\ &\geq a_1+\int_0^r y^{1-N}\int_0^y t^{N-1}p_1(t)\,f_1(a_1,a_2+f_2(a_1,a_2)\,P_2(t))\,dtdy\\ &= a_1+\underline{P}(r).\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

Proceeding as in the above, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}v(r) &= a_2+\int_0^r y^{1-N}\int_0^y t^{N-1}p_2(t)\,f_2(u(t),v(t))\,dtdy\\ &\geq a_2+\int_0^r y^{1-N}\int_0^y t^{N-1}p_2(t)\,f_2(a_1,a_1+f_1(a_1,a_2)\,P_1(t))\,dtdy\\ &= a_2+\underline{Q}(r).\end{aligned}\tag{3.30}$$

By taking limits in (3.29) and (3.30), we get entire large solutions

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}u(r)=\infty\text{ and }\lim_{r\to\infty}v(r)=\infty.$$

This completes the proof. We next consider:

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.2 completed:** When $\overline{P}_{1\varepsilon}(\infty)<\infty$ and $\overline{P}_{2\varepsilon}(\infty)<\infty$ we find from (3.28) that

$$u(r)<\infty \text{ and } v(r)<\infty \text{ for all } r\geq 0.$$

In other words, we get that (u,v) is bounded. Next, we give the proof for the case where the solution is entire large. As in (3.26) and (3.27) we get

$$\int_{u(R)}^{u(r)}\left(\int_0^t f_1(z,z)\,dz\right)^{-1/2}dt\leq C_R^1+\overline{c}_1\overline{P}_{1\varepsilon}, \tag{3.31}$$

and

$$\int_{v(R)}^{v(r)}\left(\int_0^t f_2(z,z)\,dz\right)^{-1/2}dt\leq C_R^2+\overline{c}_2\overline{P}_{2\varepsilon}, \tag{3.32}$$

where

$$C_R^1=\sqrt{C_1}\frac{\int_R^r t^{1-N}dt}{\sqrt{\int_0^{u(R)}f_1(z,z)\,dz}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon R^{\varepsilon}} \text{ and } C_R^2=\sqrt{C_2}\frac{\int_R^r t^{1-N}dt}{\left(\int_0^{v(R)}f_2(z,z)\,dz\right)^{-1/2}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon R^{\varepsilon}}.$$

Letting $r\to\infty$ in (3.31) and (3.32), we find that p_1 and p_2 satisfy $\overline{P}_{1\varepsilon}=\infty$ and $\overline{P}_{2\varepsilon}=\infty$.

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 2.3 completed: 1):** In the spirit of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we have

$$u(r)\leq \mathcal{KO}_{f_1}^{-1}\left(\sqrt{C_1}\int_R^r t^{1-N}dt\left(\int_0^{u(R)}f_1(z,z)\,dz\right)^{-1/2}+\overline{c}_1\overline{P}_{1\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon R^{\varepsilon}}\right),$$

and

$$v(r)\geq a_2+\underline{Q}(r).$$

Therefore, if $\overline{P}_{1\varepsilon}(\infty)<\infty$ and $\underline{Q}(\infty)=\infty$ we get

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}u(r)<\infty \text{ and } \lim_{r\to\infty}v(r)=\infty,$$

which yields the claim.

2): In this case, the idea is to mimic the proof of the Case 1. We observe that

$$u(r)\geq a_1+\underline{P}(r), \tag{3.33}$$

and

$$v(r)\leq \mathcal{KO}_{f_2}^{-1}\left(\sqrt{C_2}\int_R^r t^{1-N}dt\left(\int_0^{v(R)}f_2(z,z)\,dz\right)^{-1/2}+\overline{c}_2\overline{P}_{2\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon R^{\varepsilon}}\right). \tag{3.34}$$

The conclusion of the Theorem it follows by letting $r\to\infty$ in (3.33).

3.4. **Proof of Theorem 2.4 completed:** It follows from (3.17) and the conditions of the theorem that

$$\mathcal{KO}_{f_1}\left(u_n\left(r\right)\right) \leq \sqrt{2\overline{c}_1}\cdot \overline{P}_1\left(\infty\right) < \sqrt{2\overline{c}_1}\cdot \mathcal{KO}_{f_1}\left(\infty\right) < \infty,$$

and $v_n\left(r\right) \leq \mathcal{KO}_{f_2}^{-1}\left(\sqrt{2\overline{c}_2}\cdot \overline{P}_2\left(\infty\right)\right) < \infty$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{KO}_{f_1}^{-1}$ is strictly increasing on $[0, \mathcal{KO}_{f_1}\left(\infty\right))$, we find that $u_n\left(r\right) \leq \mathcal{KO}_{f_1}^{-1}\left(\sqrt{2\overline{c}_1}\cdot \overline{P}_1\left(\infty\right)\right) < \infty$, and then the non-decreasing sequences $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\{v_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ are bounded above for all $r \geq 0$ and all n. We now use this observation to conclude $\left(u_n\left(r\right), v_n\left(r\right)\right) \overset{n\to\infty}{\to} \left(u\left(r\right), v\left(r\right)\right)$ and then the limit functions u and v are positive entire bounded radial solutions of system (1.1).

3.5. **Proof of Theorem 2.5 completed:** The proof for these cases is similar as the above and is therefore omitted.

References

[1] L. Bieberbach, $\Delta u = e^u$ *und die automorphen Funktionen*, Mathematische Annalen, Volume 77, Pages 173-212, 1916.

[2] D.-P. Covei, *A necessary and a sufficient condition for the existence of the positive radial solutions to Hessian equations and systems with weights*, arXiv:1508.07426 [math.AP]

[3] J. I. Diaz, *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Free Boundaries*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, 106, 1985.

[4] H. Grosse and A. Martin, *Particle Physics and the Schrodinger Equation*, Cambridge Monographs on Particle Physic's, Nuclear Physics and Cosmology, 1997.

[5] M. A. Krasnosel'skii and YA. B. Rutickii, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, Translaled from the first Russian edition by Leo F. Boron, P. Noordhoff LTD. - Groningen - the Netherlands, 1961.

[6] J. B. Keller, *On solution of* $\Delta u = f(u)$, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 10 (1957), 503-510.

[7] J. B. Keller, *Electrohydrodynamics I. The Equilibrium of a Charged Gas in a Container*, Journal of Rational Mechanics and Analysis, Volume 5, Nomber 4, 1956.

[8] A.V. Lair, *A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of large solutions to sublinear elliptic systems*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Volume 365, Issue 1, 1 May 2010, Pages 103-108.

[9] J.M. Lasry and P. L. Lions, *Nonlinear Elliptic Equations with Singular Boundary conditions and Stochastic Control with State Constraints*, Mathematische Annalen, Volume 283, Number 4, 583-630.

[10] H. Lü , D. O'Regan & R. P. Agarwal, *Positive radial solutions for a quasilinear system*, Applicable Analysis, Volume 85, Nomber 4, Peges 363-371, 2006.

[11] J. Matero, *Nonlinear elliptic problems with boundary blow-up*, Uppsala Dissertations in Mathematics, 1997.

[12] Z. Nehari, *Bounds for the solutions of a class of non-linear partial differential equations*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Pages 829-836, 1963.

[13] S.I. Pohozaev (Pokhozhaev), *The Dirichlet problem for the equation* $\Delta u = u^2$, Doklady Acad Sci. USSR, 136, (1960), no. 3, 769-772. English translation: Soviet. Mathematics Doklady, 1, Pages 1143-1146, 1961.

[14] H. Rademacher, *Finige besondere probleme partieller Differentialgleichungen, in : Die Differential und Integralgleichungen der Mechanick und Physik*, I, 2nd ed., Rosenberg, New York, Pages 838-845, 1943.

[15] H. Rhee, *Shorter Notes: Spherically Symmetric Entire Solutions of* $\Delta^p u = f\left(u\right)$, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 69. Number 2, May 1978.

[16] R. Redheffer, *On the Inequality* $\Delta u \geq f\left(u, |\nabla u|\right)$, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Volume 1, Pages 277-299, 1960.

[17] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York 1991.

[18] R. Osserman, *On the inequality* $\Delta u \geq f(u)$, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 7 (1957), 1641-1647.
[19] C.A. Santos and J. Zhou, *Infinite many Blow-up solutions for a Schrödinger quasilinear elliptic problem with a non-square diffusion term*, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1603.01193.pdf
[20] D. Sattinger, *Monotone methods in nonlinear elliptic and parabolic boundary value problems*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 21, Pages 979-1000, 1972.
[21] M. D. Smooke, *Error Estimates for Piecewise Perturbation Series Solutions of the Radial Schrödinger Equation*, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis. Volume 20, Nomber 2, Pages 279-295, Apr., 1983.

[1]Department of Applied Mathematics, The Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Piata Romana, 1st district, postal code: 010374, postal office: 22, Romania
E-mail address: coveid@yahoo.com